

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | I | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/538,982 | · | 06/15/2005 | Yanxin Li | LUNGBJ/107/PC/US | 7513 |
| 2543 | 7590 | 09/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| ALIX YAI | | STAS LLP | BASICHAS, ALFRED | | |
| 750 MAIN S SUITE 1400 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| HARTFOR | HARTFORD, CT 06103 | | | 3749 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006 | 6 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|---|--|---|----------|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/538,982 | LI, YANXIN | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | <u> </u> | | | | |
| | Alfred Basichas | 3749 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | | I | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC, cause the application to become A | ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 D | ecember 2005 | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| , <u> </u> |) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | , | , | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are withdraw | wn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| · | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement | | | | | | |
| Oralin(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction and/or t | siection requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine | ır. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | epted or b) Objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeya | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex | caminer. Note the attache | ed Office Action or form P | PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority document | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | | n received in this Nationa | ıl Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau | , | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | t received. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Attachmout(a) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4\ \ Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | · <u>—</u> | Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 6) | , | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-14, drawn to a method of combustion control, classified in class
 431, subclass 12.
 - II. Claims 15-27, drawn to a burner apparatus, classified in class 431, subclass 89.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case both criteria apply.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Art Unit: 3749

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alfred Basichas whose telephone number is 571 272 4871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday during regular business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ehud Gartenberg can be reached on 571 272 4828. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571 273 8300.

Art Unit: 3749

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center telephone number is 571 272 3700.

August 31, 2006

Alfred Basichas Primary Examiner